Sri K. H. PATIL.—I am not raising a point of order, challenging the validity of the ruling of the Speaker. I am aiding the Speaker and while giving the aid to the word 'consent', I want to know what is the consent and in what form it should be.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I do not want aid now. Now the matter is over. I have interpreted the word 'consent' in a particular manner under Rules 177 and 178 of the Rules of Procedure. If the members think that it is not correct, they can take in future another opportunity when a similar occasion arises.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—Suppose the consent asked for is refused!

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have not refused.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—What I am concerned is that the consent should not be refused.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have not refused consent.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—That is what I have observed when ruling was given. These matters will have to be dealt with in the Privilege Committee.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If breach of privilege is alleged, then the document connected with that has to be produced. It is imperative.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—I agree with the Chair. What I wanted is the consent of the Chair is necessary for the privilege question.

Sri K. PUTTASWAMY.—He is speaking almost in a vacuum. There cannot be any point of order in a vacuum.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—Have I no right to raise a point of order when the matter is before the House? Am I deprived of the right? Is it right on the part of the Minister for Parliamentary Affairs to say like that? As far as the privilege motions are concerned, every member of the House has got a right to raise points of order if he is not satisfied and if things do not go in an orderly manner. Therefore the Speaker will have to give consent immediately without further delay.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is no delay on my part. The only thing that now remains is sufficient information has to be given with regard to the motion.

CALL ATTENTION TO A MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE *re* : CLASH BETWEEN M.S.E.B. EMPLOYEES AND THE TEACHER TRAINEES IN BIDAR

Sri S. CHANDRAKANTH.—I call the attention of the Chief Minister regarding scuffle and clash between the M.S.E.B. employees and teacher trainees at Bidar on 16th August 1968.

† Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—It is reported that in the evening of 15th August 1968 one Sri Erappa, a servant of Shri Manjoji Rao, Supervisor, M.S.E.B., abused the women folk in Phatak Galli during an altercation over getting tap water. Sri Nivarthi Rao, a trainee teacher who was present there objected to this and informed Sri Manjoji Rao about the same that night. Sri Manjoji Rao is reported to have not only paid a deaf ear but also abused Sri Nivarthi Rao. It is also reported that on 16th August 1968 Sri Nivarthi Rao narrated the incident to the other trainee teachers in the Hostel, that all of them on hearing the same went to the house of Sri Manjoji Rao at about 8 A.M. and demanded that he should apologise, which he did and that the trainee teachers thereafter left the place for their hostel.

It is further reported that in the meanwhile some of the M.S.E.B. workers thinking that the teacher trainees had gone to the house of Sri Manjoji Rao to assault him, went in a group of about 40 to 50 and chased the trainee teachers, that the trainee teachers ran helter and skelter and a few took shelter in the house of one Sri Basanna another teacher, that M.S.E.B. workers entered the house of Sri Basanna, assaulted him and that they dragged out the teacher trainees Sriyuths Dalappa and Revanna Siddappa and that they took both of them to the house of Sri Manjoji Rao. By that time the Police Sub-Inspector, Bidar Town having received the information rushed to the spot and pacified both the M.S.E.B., workers and teacher trainees who were hurling abuses at each other. The Police Sub-Inspector brought the situation under control tactfully. Complaints and counter complaints were lodged by teachers and M.S.E.B. workers which have been registered and are under investigation.

The Assistent Superintendent of Police, Bidar, heard the representations of the teachers and the workers and assured them that prompt legal action would be taken regarding the incident. He also advised them not to indulge in activities prejudicial to law and order situation.

No other incidents have taken place thereafter and the situation reported now to be quite peaceful.

PAPERS LAID ON THE TABLE

Sri B. L. GOWDA (Deputy Minister for Co-operation).—I beg to lay the Mysore Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Rules, 1968, Notification No. DPC 257 CMD 67 dated the 3rd April, 1968 under Section 153 of the Mysore Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Notification is laid on the Table.